arXiv:1407.0297v1 [math.CO] 1 Jul 2014

DELETING AN EDGE OF A 3-CYCLE IN AN INTRINSICALLY KNOTTED GRAPH GIVES AN INTRINSICALLY LINKED GRAPH

RAMIN NAIMI, ELENA PAVELESCU, AND HANNAH SCHWARTZ

Abstract. We show that deleting an edge of a 3-cycle in an intrinsically knotted graph gives an intrinsically linked graph.

1. Introduction

A graph is *intrinsically knotted* (IK) if every embedding of it in S^3 contains a nontrivial knot. A graph is *intrinsically linked* (IL) if every embedding of it in S^3 contains a nontrivial link. Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [6] showed that every IK graph is IL. Finding other relationships between IK and IL graphs has not been easy. For example, Adams [1] asked whether removing a vertex from an IK graph always yields an IL graph. Although this holds for some IK graphs (see, e.g., Li [5]), Foisy [3] was able to find a counterexample. In this paper we prove two relationships between IK and IL graphs: (1) removing an edge of a 3-cycle in an IK graph yields an IL graph; (2) in an IK graph G, if v is a vertex of degree 3 contained in two 3-cycles, then $G - \{v\}$ is IL.

Before proving our results, we need some notation and definitions. All graphs in this paper are finite, but not necessarily simple. Given a graph G, the set of vertices and the set of edges of G are denoted, respectively, by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$. Given two vertices $a, b \in V(G)$, $G + \overline{ab}$ denotes the graph obtained by adding a new edge $\overline{ab}$ to G. When a vertex is removed from G, all edges incident to that vertex are automatically removed too. Removing vertices is denoted by a minus sign, e.g., $G - \{a, b\}$. Set subtraction is denoted by a backslash, as in

Date: July 2, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C10, Secondary 57M15, 57M25.

Key words and phrases. spatial graphs, intrinsically knotted graph, intrinsically linked graph.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0905300 at Occidental College.

$X \setminus Y$. Edge contraction is denoted by a forward slash, as in $G/\overline{ab}$. The interior of a set X is denoted by $\mathrm{intr}(X)$. An *arc* can be closed, open, or half open, i.e., homeomorphic to $[0,1]$, $(0,1)$, or $[0,1)$. If $\eta : G \to S^3$ is an embedding of a graph G, we abuse notation and use η to also refer to the image of the map. A graph with a given property is said to be *minor minimal* with respect to that property if no proper minor of the graph has that property. We abbreviate "minor minimal intrinsically knotted" as MMIK.

2. Results

Lemma 1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be non-IK graphs such that $G_1 \cap G_2$ consists of exactly one vertex, v. Let w_i be a vertex in G_i adjacent to v. Then the graph obtained by adding a new edge $\overline{w_1w_2}$ to $G_1 \cup G_2$ is not IK.*

Proof. Let η_i be a knotless embedding of G_i in a ball B_i such that $\eta_i \cap \partial B_i = \overline{vw_i}$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \{v\}$. We obtain an embedding η of $G_1 \cup G_2 + \overline{w_1w_2}$ by embedding the edge $\overline{w_1w_2}$ so that its interior is disjoint from both balls, and the cycle vw_1w_2 is trivially knotted. Then it is easy to check that any other knot in η is trivial since it is either in η_1, in η_2, or a connected sum of two knots in η_1 and η_2. Thus $G_1 \cup G_2 + \overline{w_1w_2}$ is not IK.

□

An embedding of a graph in S^3 is *flat* if every cycle in the embedded graph bounds a disk whose interior is disjoint from the graph. We now prove our main result.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a flatly embedded graph in S^3. Let a, b, v be vertices in G, with a and b adjacent to v. Then $G + \overline{ab}$ has a knotless embedding such that the 3-cycle avb bounds an open disk disjoint from the graph.*

Proof. Suppose there is no path in G from a to b, disjoint from v. Then v separates G, so G can be written as the union of two graphs G_1, G_2 with a in G_1, b in G_2, and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{v\}$. Since $G_i \subset G$ is flat, it is knotless by [6]. Hence, by Lemma 1, $G + \overline{ab}$ has a knotless embedding, and we're done.

So, we can assume there is a path $P_{ab} \subset G$ from a to b, disjoint from v. Since G is flat, the cycle $P_{ab} \cup \overline{av} \cup \overline{vb}$ bounds a disk D whose interior is disjoint from G. We properly embed a new edge $e = \overline{ab}$ in D. We show below that this embedding, Γ_e, of $G + \overline{ab}$ is knotless. Observe that the open subdisk of D bounded by the 3-cycle avb is disjoint from Γ_e, as desired.

Let K be a knot in Γ_e. If K does not contain e, then K is in G, which is flat; hence K is trivial. So we can assume $e \subset K$. If $v \notin K$, then we isotop K to a new knot K' by isotopying e in D to $\overline{av} \cup \overline{bv}$, while keeping $K \setminus e$ pointwise fixed. Now, by the same reasoning as above, K' is trivial, and hence so is K. So we can assume $v \in K$.

Let $P_{av} \subset K$ be the arc in K from a to v that does not contain b, and $P_{bv} \subset K$ the arc from b to v that does not contain a. If $P_{av} = \overline{av}$ and $P_{bv} = \overline{bv}$, then $K = \overline{av} \cup \overline{bv} \cup e$ bounds a subdisk of D, and hence is trivial. So we can assume without loss of generality that $P_{av} \neq \overline{av}$. We will show below that K is isotopic to $(K \setminus P_{av}) \cup \overline{av}$.

Since G is flat, the cycle $P_{av} \cup \overline{av}$ bounds a disk D' whose interior is disjoint from G. We can assume the interiors of D and D' intersect transversely. So $\mathrm{intr}(D) \cap \mathrm{intr}(D')$ is a finite, disjoint union of circles and open arcs. We divide these arcs into two types: arcs whose closures each have exactly one endpoint in $\mathrm{intr}(P_{av})$ will be called *type 1* arcs, and *type 2* arcs will consist of all the remaining arcs, whose closures have either both endpoints or neither endpoint in $\mathrm{intr}(P_{av})$.

The closure of a type 1 or type 2 arc cannot have any endpoints in $\mathrm{intr}(D)$ or $\mathrm{intr}(D')$ since both interiors are disjoint from G. So the endpoints must be in

$$\begin{aligned} \partial D \cap \partial D' &= (\overline{av} \cup \overline{bv} \cup \mathrm{intr}(P_{ab})) \cap (\overline{av} \cup \mathrm{intr}(P_{av})) \\ &= \overline{av} \cup (\mathrm{intr}(P_{ab}) \cap \mathrm{intr}(P_{av})) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because $\overline{av}$ is disjoint from both $\mathrm{intr}(P_{ab})$ and $\mathrm{intr}(P_{av})$, and $\overline{bv}$ is disjoint from $\partial D' \setminus \{v\}$. It follows that the closure of any type 1 arc has one endpoint in $\mathrm{intr}(P_{ab}) \cap \mathrm{intr}(P_{av})$ and the other in $\overline{av}$. Additionally, the closure of any type 2 arc must either have both endpoints in $\mathrm{intr}(P_{ab}) \cap \mathrm{intr}(P_{av})$ or both in $\overline{av}$. So, by isotopying D' if necessary, we can assume that all type 2 arcs and all circles in $\mathrm{intr}(D) \cap \mathrm{intr}(D')$ are disjoint from e. Also observe that, by transversality, we can assume distinct type 1 arcs are disjoint from each other.

Let $N \subset D'$ be the closure of an ϵ-neighborhood of all of the type 1 arcs, where ϵ is small enough so that distinct type 1 arcs lie in disjoint connected components of N. We isotop K to a new knot, K_1, by "moving" P_{av} through $D' \setminus \mathrm{intr}(N)$ to a new arc $P_1 \subset \overline{av} \cup \partial N$, while keeping $K \setminus P_{av}$ pointwise fixed, as in Figure 1. This is indeed an isotopy of K because e intersects D' only on type 1 arcs, and P_{bv} is disjoint from $\mathrm{intr}(D')$; hence $\mathrm{intr}(D') \setminus \mathrm{intr}(N)$ is disjoint from $K \setminus P_{av}$.

Given a type 1 arc α, let N_α be the connected component of N that contains α. Then N_α intersects $\mathrm{intr}(D)$ transversely, with $N_\alpha \cap$



FIGURE 1. Left: D' with four type 1 arcs. Right: $P_1 \subset \overline{av} \cup \partial(N)$

$\mathrm{intr}(D) = \alpha$. Now, ∂N_α can be written as the union of three arcs, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, where α_1 is a subset of $\overline{av}$, and α_2, α_3 each extend from an endpoint of α_1 to the point $\alpha \cap P_{ab}$. We project α_2 and α_3 into D, keeping their endpoints fixed. Doing this for all type 1 arcs yields a projection of P_1 into D where each intersection point between e and a type 1 arc corresponds to two crossings between e and P_1, as in Figure 2. Starting from a, the ith crossing counting as we travel along e is the same as the ith crossing counting along P_1. Thus the first crossing between e and P_1 can be removed by a Type 1 Reidemeister move on $P_1 \cup \{e\}$, that "rotates" part of P_1 around e in a small neighborhood of D. By making this neighborhood sufficiently small, so that it is disjoint from $K_1 \setminus (P_1 \cup \{e\})$, we can consider this to be an ambient isotopy of K_1 which leaves $K_1 \setminus P_1$ pointwise fixed. Continuing this way, we can remove all crossings between e and P_1. It follows that K_1 is isotopic to $K_2 = (K \setminus P_{av}) \cup \overline{av}$. Hence, so is K.



FIGURE 2. Projection of P_1 into D

If $P_{bv} \neq \overline{bv}$, we show by a similar argument that K_2 is isotopic to $(K_2 \setminus P_{bv}) \cup \overline{bv}$. Thus K is isotopic to $e \cup \overline{av} \cup \overline{bv}$, and hence is a trivial knot. This shows that Γ_e is a knotless embedding of $G + \overline{ab}$.

□

Corollary 3. *A graph obtained by removing an edge of a 3-cycle in an intrinsically knotted graph is intrinsically linked.*

Proof. Let G be an IK graph, and let e be an edge of a 3-cycle in G. Suppose $G - e$ is not IL. Then, by [6], $G - e$ has a flat embedding. So, by Proposition 2, G has a knotless embedding, which is a contradiction.

□

Proposition 2 also has the following corollaries.

Corollary 4. *A graph obtained by contracting an edge incident to a degree 3 vertex in an intrinsically knotted graph is intrinsically linked.*

Proof. Let G be an IK graph, and let a, b, c be the vertices adjacent to the degree 3 vertex $v \in V(G)$. Since $G \cup \overline{ab}$ is IK, by Corollary 3, $(G \cup \overline{ab}) - \overline{av}$ is IL. We can contract the edge $\overline{cv}$ in $(G \cup \overline{ab}) - \overline{av}$ to obtain a graph isomorphic to $G/\overline{bv}$. Because v is of degree 2 in $(G \cup \overline{ab}) - \overline{av}$, the graph $G/\overline{bv}$ must also be IL.

□

Corollary 5. *Let G be a flatly embedded graph in S^3. Let a, b, v be vertices in G, with v adjacent to a and b. Then the graph G_1 obtained from G by adding a new vertex w and three edges $\overline{aw}$, $\overline{bw}$, and $\overline{vw}$ has a knotless embedding η_1 in which each of the cycles avw, bvw, and $avbw$ bounds an open disk disjoint from η_1.*

Proof. By Proposition 2, $G + \overline{ab}$ has a knotless embedding η such that the cycle avb bounds a disk D whose interior is disjoint from η. We obtain from η an embedding η_2 of $G_2 = G_1 + \overline{ab}$ by embedding w, $\overline{wa}$, $\overline{wb}$, and $\overline{wv}$ in D.

We see as follows that η_2 is a knotless embedding. Let K_2 be a knot in η_2. If $w \notin K_2$, then $K_2 \subset \eta$, and hence it is a trivial knot. So assume $w \in K_2$. Then, without loss of generality, K_2 contains $\overline{aw}$ and $\overline{bw}$. The 3-cycle abw bounds an open disk $D_2 \subset D$ disjoint from η_2. We isotop K_2 to a new knot $K = (K_2 \setminus (\overline{aw} \cup \overline{bw})) \cup \overline{ab}$ in η by isotopying $\overline{aw} \cup \overline{bw}$ across D_2 to $\overline{ab}$. Since η is a knotless embedding, K is a trivial knot, and hence so is K_2.

Now, removing $\overline{ab}$ from η_2 gives a knotless embedding η_1 of G_1. It is easily seen that in η_1 each of the cycles avw and bvw bounds a subdisk of D, and the cycle $avbw$ bounds a disk "parallel and close" to a subdisk

of D, which does not intersect $\overline{vw}$. The interior of each of these disks is disjoint from η_1, as desired. □

The last corollary immediately implies the one below.

Corollary 6. *Let v be a vertex of degree 3 in an IK graph G, with v adjacent to a, b, c, such that $\{\overline{ab}, \overline{bc}\} \subset E(G)$. Then $G - \{v\}$ is IL.*

In contrast to the last two corollaries, we make the following elementary observation, which can be proved using a method very similar to how one proves that the triangle-Y move preserves intrinsic linkedness and knottedness (this method is also used above in the proof of Corollary 5). As such, we omit its proof.

Observation 1. If G has a flat embedding, and $v \in V(G)$ is a vertex of degree 3 with adjacent vertices a,b,and c, then the graph $G+\overline{ab}+\overline{ac}+\overline{bc}$ has a knotless embedding η such that the cycle abc bounds a disk whose interior is disjoint from η.

We close with two questions.

Question 1. Does removing any edge of an IK graph yield an IL graph?

Question 2. Does contracting any edge of an IK graph yield an IL graph?

Remark: It is not true that splitting a vertex (the inverse of contracting an edge) in a flatly embedded graph yields a knotless embedding. A simple counterexample is obtained by adding an edge to a crossing in a trefoil such that contracting the edge turns the trefoil into a flatly embedded graph that is a union of two cycles. However, this does not necessarily answer the last question above.

References

[1] C. Adams. *The Knot Book: An Elementary Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Knots*. AMS, 2004

[2] J. Conway and C. Gordon. *Knots and links in spatial graphs*. J. of Graph Theory, **7** (1983) 445–453.

[3] J. Foisy. *A Newly Recognized Intrinsically Knotted Graph*. J. of Graph Theory, **43** (2003) 199-209. DOI 10.1002/jgt.10114

[4] N. Goldberg, T. Mattman, R. Naimi. *Many, many more minor minimal intrinsically knotted graphs*. To appear in Algebraic and Geometric Topology. arXiv:1109.1632

[5] Y. Li, J. Qin, F. Lei, X. Wang, X. Zhang. *Triangle-Y exchanges on intrinsic knotting of almost complete and complete partite graphs*. J. of Knot Theory and its Ramifications, **21** (2012) (16p.). DOI: 10.1142/S021821651100990X

[6] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, R. Thomas. *Sachs linkless embedding conjecture.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, **64** (1995) 185–227.

Department of Mathematics, Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA 90041

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078

Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010